



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

IN MASSED FORMATION

SIR,—As one of your readers both in *Harper's Weekly* and *THE NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW*, I have on many occasions admired the forbearance and moderation of your comments on President Wilson's many and deplorable errors of judgment, and, what is far worse, manifestations of instability of principle.

Indeed, I have more than once all but lost patience with a tolerant attitude on your part towards the President's glaring weaknesses and inconsistencies, which I feared might be born of a dread lest you be swayed by personal feelings.

In view of your editorial summing of Mr. Wilson in the last *REVIEW*, I now appreciate, as I have not done before, your studied impartiality in dealing with all his acts and omissions. That very unbiased fairness in the past, and indeed in the recent editorial itself, lends a weight to your presentation in massed formation of the deadly array of irrefutable facts against the Wilson Administration, which is to the last degree crushing.

I thank you for that splendid piece of editorial work, as I have had occasion to do in my own mind many times for your editorials in the past

FREDERICK R. BLAKE.

CHICAGO, ILL.

FROM A NEW JERSEY DEMOCRAT

SIR,—I think the wise Josh Billings one time said: "A man who gets bit twice by the same dog is better calculated for that business than any other." I am very glad, indeed, that you have not joined that class.

I never believed for a moment in the nomination of Wilson for Governor of this State. Elected he was a failure here, as he has been in Washington; but a very dangerous man. That you are to some extent responsible for him will forever stand as one of your errors.

You may recall that when Martine was making his canvas for Senator, Wilson descended from the Executive Chamber here to tell the Democrats in Newark that those who did not vote for him (Martine) would "be marked, labelled and remembered," and he uttered the same warning at a meeting in Jersey City. Now Martine beats his candidate, Westcott, by twenty odd thousand, and right here in Wilson's own county—if he has any county which is his own—by two hundred and forty-six. No more Wilson!

DEMOCRAT.

TRENTON, N. J.

[The writer of the above is one of the most prominent Democrats in New Jersey.—EDITOR.]

DANIELS

SIR,—Yesterday I read a long article in the *Arkansas Gazette* purporting to be an interview with Admiral Dewey in which he said many good things about Secretary Daniels. As there seems to be a difference in the position held by Mr. Daniels in your estimation and the position he holds in Admiral Dewey's estimation, I wish you would give us in the *REVIEW*

something that can be depended on about Mr. Daniels. If he is the paragon of incompetence you make him appear, then why should Admiral Dewey take time to speak well of him, and why should President Wilson keep him on the job?

JAS. W. MARSHALL.

JUNCTION CITY, ARK.

[Admiral Dewey has been quoted as speaking both favorably and unfavorably of Mr. Daniels. President Wilson has kept him in office for "counsel in intimate fashion" and, if re-elected, will undoubtedly retain him for another four years.—EDITOR.]

UNPALATABLE MEDICINE

SIR,—I have read in the September number of *THE NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW* your dialogue discussing The Political Situation. Frankly, I feel that it savors of unfairness to put forward, in the guise of a Democrat, the worthy (?) Mr. Worthington, and to put in his mouth the words and phrases of a strongly partisan Republican. The gentleman you personify could never have been a Democrat and so grudgingly allow credit to the Party for its notable achievements of the past four years—more remarkable in view of the peculiarly unsettled conditions existing.

Can you not administer this campaign "medicine" in more palatable form?

GEO. A. LOVEJOY.

PORTLAND, OREGON.

A PERFECT JOY

SIR,—You are doing fine things right along. Exceptionally fine was your tribute to James Whitcomb Riley, which came under my eye only yesterday. It is a bit of real literature—a classic of its kind—and I am preserving it for my children and my children's children. Possibly it touched my heart all the more closely because of my love of Riley, developed in Hoosierdom decades ago, when we were "so happy and so pore."

Let me also say to you that I find *THE NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW* a perfect joy. I impatiently wait its coming and revel in its contents. More power to you! And may the Presidential hand-picking you are doing at this juncture, which I approve most heartily, not go awry in November or ultimately.

SCOTT C. BONE.

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON.

HIS SEVENTEENTH PRESIDENTIAL VOTE

SIR,—Please accept my congratulations to you for having dropped Woodrow Wilson. His heartless treatment of Smith was *damnable*. To stick to one's friends through thick and thin, especially in political matters, is my golden rule. I am ninety-two past, and I hope to cast my seventeenth Presidential vote for a man who, whatever turns up in domestic or foreign affairs, will instinctively know what a President of the United States ought to do, and who has the backbone to do it.

H. H. THOMPSON.

PASSAIC, N. J.